

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/517.987	03/03/00	HUANG		K	94-0	-09602
Г		MM92/0313	\neg	EXAMINER		
Lisa K. Jorga	ensen Esq		'	BOOTH.R		
STMicroelect	ronics Inc					
1310				ART U	INIT	PAPER NUMBER
Electronic Di	rive			2812		
Carrollton T	X 75006					
				DATE MAILED: 03/13/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(a)					
		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/517,987	HUANG ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this control of	Richard A. Booth	2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> •						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>69-84</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>77-84</u> is/are rejected.		· :					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims 69-76 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	o by the Examiner.						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 19 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/517,987

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group II in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 77-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierce, U.S. Patent 5,422,289 in view of Doan et al., U.S. Patent 5,346,867.

Pierce shows the invention substantially as claimed including a substrate; a field oxide 22 over the substrate, the field oxide having an opening extending to the substrate; a gate electrode (20,28-see Figure 4) over the surface of the substrate and within the opening, the gate electrode having insulating material 18 on a bottom and having insulating material 32 on two sides of the gate electrode (see Figure 5), the insulating material on the bottom side of the gate electrode contacting the substrate; source and drain regions adjacent the insulating material on the gate electrode, each source and drain region including: a first portion (34,36) in the substrate which

Application/Control Number: 09/517,987

Art Unit: 2812

contains both a lightly doped region extending under the insulating layers 32 and a heavily doped region; and a second portion (38,40) over the first portion and adjacent to the insulating material 32 on the sides of the gate electrode (20,28 – see Figures 1-5 and column 7, line 23 – column 11, line 28).

Pierce lacks anticipation of showing a field oxide with vertical sides.

Doan et al. discloses forming either a bird's beak field oxide 32 (see Figure 3) or a straight walled vertical field oxide 52 (see Figure 5). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to replace the bird's beak field oxide of Pierce with a vertically faced field oxide as disclosed by Doan et al. because this is an appropriate alternative form of isolation to bird's beak isolation and the removal of the bird's beak will allow for higher integration.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A. Booth whose telephone number is 308-3446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-7724 for regular communications and 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/517,987

Art Unit: 2812

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1782.

Richard A. Booth Art Unit 2812